

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2010/04/09 : CIA-RDP75-00149R000500450052-4 MAR 2 2 1968 MO. LOUIS, POST-DISPATCH **EVENING** 385,639 MAR 20 1982 mall Credit **to Either** Neither President Kennedy nor Richard M. Nixon comes off very well in their dispute over the Cuban issue in the 1960 campaign. The President no doubt is correct in denying Mr. Nixon's charge that he had been offi-cially briefed on American training and support of a Cuba livasion force; former CIA Chief Allen Dulles bears him out. But this is not the main question. Whether or not he was officially told of the Eisenhower Administration's plans for intervention, Mr. Kennedy did come very close to advocating intervention himself. This was one of the most disappointing aspects of his campaign. As we said at the time, Mr. Kennedy was talking out of both sides of his mouth, speaking against Latin-American intervention in general terms but coming please to advocating it in the specific case of Culia. This was a tragic error, and we trust that the President now recognizes it as which. But it was not, as Mr. Nixon confusedly charges, a threat to the security of the CLA operation. The most autonishing aspect of the dispute is Mr. Mixon's present contention that he was one of the principal architects of the invasion plan, but that Mr. Kennedy jockeyed him into publicly opposing it in order to protect" security. This makes no sense whatever. The Vice President's fine statement against Intervention, during the last television debate, was one of the most creditable and responsible of his campaign. Hut he was not compelled to make it in order to preserve the secrecy of the divasion plans. Furthermore, he neglects in mention that after arguing against interpretation he went on to argue for a Culy Applicy patterned on the one we had fur went in Guatemala—which was a policy of intervention.

Then, as always, Mr. Nixon was managing to occupy hothers are the question in some degree. degree. He in the passion in which he was most clearly that half of the position in which he was most clearly that hand which most clearly that all principle. What a stranger he to have deeply held conviction has self-our the land harply demonstrated.